

Appendix L

The following list is sorted by county with the highest number of cost burdened low income renters per LIHTC unit funded over the last 5 years. Any county with 0 units produced in past 5 years will use number of Low Income renters with Cost Burden for Tiebreaker.

	County	Low Income Renters with Cost Burden^	LIHTC Units Funded over Past 5 Years	LI Renters with Cost Burden per unit Funded Over 5 Years
1	Watauga	3780	0	3780.00
2	Lincoln	2825	0	2825.00
3	Franklin	2300	0	2300.00
4	Scotland	1950	0	1950.00
5	Jackson	1800	0	1800.00
6	Stanly	1795	0	1795.00
7	Pasquotank	1565	0	1565.00
8	Pender	1435	0	1435.00
9	Yadkin	1370	0	1370.00
10	Bladen	1245	0	1245.00
11	Macon	1210	0	1210.00
12	Martin	1115	0	1115.00
13	Davie	1045	0	1045.00
14	McDowell	1010	0	1010.00
15	Hertford	970	0	970.00
16	Dare	950	0	950.00
17	Caswell	895	0	895.00
18	Bertie	875	0	875.00
19	Alexander	835	0	835.00
20	Montgomery	800	0	800.00
21	Warren County	790	0	790.00
22	Northampton	770	0	770.00
23	Chowan	755	0	755.00
24	Madison	715	0	715.00
25	Greene	705	0	705.00
26	Washington	660	0	660.00
27	Ashe	575	0	575.00
28	Yancey	465	0	465.00
29	Avery	440	0	440.00
30	Currituck	425	0	425.00
31	Perquimans	425	0	425.00
32	Swain	410	0	410.00
33	Jones	405	0	405.00
34	Alleghany	379	0	379.00
35	Clay	355	0	355.00
36	Pamlico	325	0	325.00
37	Mitchell	280	0	280.00
38	Gates	270	0	270.00
39	Hyde	170	0	170.00
40	Graham	145	0	145.00
41	Camden	120	0	120.00
42	Davidson	6110	54	113.15
43	Tyrrell	84	0	84.00
44	Durham	18135	227	79.89
45	Cleveland	3655	50	73.10

Appendix L

	County	Low Income Renters with Cost Burden^	LIHTC Units Funded over Past 5 Years	LI Renters with Cost Burden per unit Funded Over 5 Years
46	Wake	45985	710	64.77
47	Alamance	7615	124	61.41
48	Rutherford	2620	46	56.96
49	Union	3850	72	53.47
50	Randolph	5235	98	53.42
51	Mecklenburg	49240	929	53.00
52	Harnett	4140	80	51.75
53	Nash	4195	84	49.94
54	Anson	1195	24	49.79
55	Halifax	3185	64	49.77
56	Beaufort	1815	38	47.76
57	Cabarrus	6160	144	42.78
58	Orange	6250	150	41.67
59	Guilford	26320	643	40.93
60	Surry	2605	66	39.47
61	Wilson	4620	120	38.50
62	Forsyth	18810	507	37.10
63	Cumberland	16690	460	36.28
64	Edgecombe	2315	64	36.17
65	Richmond	1975	56	35.27
66	Wilkes	2110	60	35.17
67	Hoke	1680	48	35.00
68	Gaston	10375	304	34.13
69	New Hanover	14180	422	33.60
70	Columbus	1985	60	33.08
71	Henderson	3300	103	32.04
72	Duplin	1845	60	30.75
73	Moore	2330	80	29.13
74	Sampson	2010	70	28.71
75	Lenoir	3180	112	28.39
76	Johnston	7280	264	27.58
77	Onslow	6160	240	25.67
78	Rockingham	3355	132	25.42
79	Granville	1515	60	25.25
80	Buncombe	10260	433	23.70
81	Pitt	11280	488	23.11
82	Catawba	4175	194	21.52
83	Rowan	4625	216	21.41
84	Lee	2250	112	20.09
85	Brunswick	3830	192	19.95
86	Chatham	2320	120	19.33
87	Caldwell	2590	138	18.77
88	Stokes	1475	80	18.44
89	Haywood	2525	138	18.30
90	Wayne	5295	329	16.09
91	Person	1350	84	16.07
92	Vance	2330	152	15.33
93	Craven	3870	268	14.44
94	Iredell	5170	362	14.28
95	Burke	2435	192	12.68
96	Robeson	4640	376	12.34
97	Cherokee	640	56	11.43
98	Carteret	2360	220	10.73
99	Transylvania	730	116	6.29
100	Polk	290	70	4.14

^Table 8 (2016-2020) Data from HUD CHAS (Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy)